4. The Fourteenth Amendment protects civil rights and civil liberties.

· civil right act of 64
· pisability act of 63

- (a) Describe the difference between civil rights and civil liberties. implied
- (b) Identify the primary clause of the Fourteenth Amendment that is used to extend civil rights. due process
- (c) Describe a specific legislative action that extended civil rights to each of the following.
  - · Women Equal pay act 162
  - · Persons with disabilities '63
- (d) Identify the primary clause of the Fourteenth Amendment that is used to extend civil liberties.
- (e) Explain how civil liberties were incorporated by the Supreme Court in two of the following cases.
  - · Gideon v. Wainwright 6th
  - \* Mapp v. Ohio 4th / 14th / exclusionary tule
  - \* Miranda v. Arizona 5th / 14th / speed trial

Civil rights mentioned in the Fourteenth Amendment are rights that
have been written down and granted to individuals. Civil liherties
are liberties that are implied by the constitution but are not written.
The primary clause of the fourteenth amendmen that used to
extend civil rights in the due process clause. The due process gives
civil when to individualy and makes the fourteenth amendment
apply to the states.  (fitte v-11 and v11)  The civil right act of '64 and the equal pay act of '62 have
extended civil rights to women. This prohibited discrimination
in public areas and work force, and also makes sure that women
and men doing similar jobs are paid the same. The disability
act of 1963 gave children to with disabilities the entitlement of
and equal opportunity to learnand get and education. The
civil right act of (title VII) prohibited directimination against
disability in public accommodations and the workforce. The
clause we to extend civil liberties is the elastic or hecessary
and proper clause.
In Mapp v. Ohio, Mappaner police entered Mapp's home
without a search warrant and obtained obsene material in
ANTITUME TO PROPERTY OF THE PR

Unauthorized copying or reuse of any part of this page is illegal.

GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.

## ADDITIONAL ANSWER PAGE FOR QUESTION 4

her possession that would be used in trial. The court struck down the
conviction because the evidence had been obtained illegally. Her 1st
4th, and 19th ammendment were violated. This court case led to the
exclusionary tule which excluded any evidence obtained illegally to
be wed in trial. It also incorporated the 4th into the 14th ammendment
making it apply to the states and civil liberties to Individuals, protecte
under the 14th ammendment.
In Miranda V. Arizona, Miranda was not told of his 5th amm-
endment rights when he was arrested and not given an attorney
when under question the court struck the down and incorporated
the 5th into the 14th ammendinent, making it apply to the
states. This also governateed civil liberties of the Individual by giving
them a right to a speedy trial and to have their rights read
to them without before being arrested such as the "right to
temain rilent any thing they say can and will be held againut
them in a court of law!
THERE III A COULTAVY
•

GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.